



MOTIVATION AND ENGAGEMENT OF PEOPLE IN PROJECTS: A CLUSTER ANALYSIS

Motivação e engajamento de pessoas em projetos: uma análise de Clusters

Ricardo Hares Abbud, Gustavo Grander,

Luciano Ferreira da Silva,

University Nove de Julho, São Paulo, Brazil

E-mail: ricardo.abbud@gmail.com, grandergustavo@gmail.com,

lf_silvabr@yahoo.com.br

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this article was to identify the relationship between motivation and engagement and project management. For that, we performed a cluster analysis with 529 articles. As a result of this research, the increase in the number of publications and the formation of 5 large groups that indicate different discussions on the theme, with emphasis on sustainability and local participation of interested parties, were evidenced, suggesting that these factors impact on the motivation of project teams. This research contributes to studies on motivation by pointing out its relationship with other issues of importance for project management with greater efficiency and effectiveness.

Keywords: Motivation; Engagement; Project; Bibliometry.

ACEITO EM: 24/08/2020

PUBLICADO: 30/05/2021



MOTIVAÇÃO E ENGAJAMENTO DE PESSOAS EM PROJETOS: UMA ANÁLISE DE CLUSTER

*Motivation and engagement of people in projects:
A Cluster Analysis*

Ricardo Hares Abbud, Gustavo Grander,
Luciano Ferreira da Silva,
University Nove de Julho, São Paulo, Brazil
E-mail: ricardo.abbud@gmail.com, grandergustavo@gmail.com,
lf_silvabr@yahoo.com.br

RESUMO

O objetivo deste artigo foi identificar a relação entre motivação e engajamento e gerenciamento de projetos. Para isso, foi realizada uma análise de cluster com 529 artigos. Como resultado desta pesquisa, evidenciou-se o aumento do número de publicações e a formação de 5 grandes grupos que indicam diferentes discussões sobre o tema, com ênfase na sustentabilidade e participação local das partes interessadas, sugerindo que esses fatores impactam na motivação das equipes de projeto. Esta pesquisa contribui para os estudos sobre motivação ao apontar sua relação com outras questões importantes para a gestão de projetos com maior eficiência e eficácia.

Palavras-chave: Motivação; Engajamento; Projeto; Bibliometria.

INTRODUCTION

Motivation for work, defined as a set of energetic forces that originate within the professional and are external to him, that is, they interact between the professional and the environment Latham and Pinder, 2005). According to Alderfer (1972), in empirical research, motivation is generated by material needs, interpersonal relationships and personal development. For Dulewicz and Higgs (2005) motivation is part of the set of emotional skills that a good leader must possess and generate in his team. The authors also define motivation as being the stimulus and energy to reach goals, knowing how to balance them in the timeline in an environment of constant rejection and questioning.

Project engagement, in turn, is related to the professional's commitment to the team and to the project's objectives. In this perspective, Allen and Meyer (1996), affirm that there must be a connection between the professional and the organization, and this connection is made through the identification of the professional with the organization (affective engagement), costs of leaving the company (continuity of the relationship with the organization) and a sense of obligation with the organization (normative commitment). Motivation and engagement within the scope of Project Management has been studied in the areas of administration (Patankul et al., 2016), construction and engineering (Voordijk, 2016).

Thus, this research sought to identify the relationship between motivation and engagement and project management. For that, we carried out a mapping of the literature, which is a variation of the systematic literature review, and which was used to understand the interaction between keywords and answer the research question that guided this study: what are the relationships between Project Management with Engagement and Motivation?

As a result, this work demonstrated its importance in pointing out the direction and interests that the subject has taken. We identified that the publications focus more and more on the concern with the product or service to be generated in the project, as well as on the professional and emotional development and growth of the members of the project team.

1 THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Motivation, already studied for more than 60 years with Maslow (1954) in his pyramid of needs and with Alderfer (1972) that defined three basic levels of needs: Survival (material), Relationship (social) and Growth (development and power). More recently, Dwivedula and Bredillet (2010) analyzed motivation within hierarchical project-oriented structures, and identified four basic areas: support for employee training, a motivating, challenging and interesting work environment, a formal and informal communication, and job security that influence and promote motivation in the context of projects.

For Maloney (1985), motivation depends on the encounter between what is desired by the organization (expected behaviors) and what is desired by the employee (expected rewards). Patanakul, Pinto and Pinto (2016), inferred that aspects of motivation in multi-project environments involve four aspects: the difference in objectives between projects, the lack of resources, the heavy workload with conflicting priorities that lead to loss of morale, and productivity.

When analyzing the effects of unexpected incidents on working conditions and their consequences on the loss of motivation by the project team, Gällstedt (2003) considered 9 categories of incidents that may affect the team's motivation, among which we could notice issues related to resources, changing priorities, new stakeholders with different requirements and relying on support from others. Martin (2009) investigated through the 'Motivation and Engagement Wheel' how the capacity for evaluation and persistence affect positively and anxiety negatively affects project teams.

2 METHODOLOGY

In this work we use the Scopus database for representativeness with publications related to Project Management. The research was carried out on 05/14/2020 to select the works related to the objective of this study. The search term used was (Motivation or Engagement) AND ("Project Management") so that the

combination should appear in titles, keywords or in the summary, which resulted in 2,276 documents. For refinement, we performed the filter in the Business, Management and Accounting and Social Sciences areas, which reduced the sample to 916 documents.

Then, we filtered only articles, reducing the sample to 561 articles and finally, we eliminated articles that were not aligned with the objective of our study but that appeared in our search for the use of keywords, with the result that the resulting sample was 529 articles. We then performed an analysis using the clustering technique of the VOSviewer software.

3 RESULTS

When analyzing the most common Journals in our research, we noticed that the International Journal of Project Management as the main Journal in terms of the number of published papers, indicating a high adherence to the theme by the Journal over time. We also noticed as representative the International Journal of Managing Projects in Business, Journal of Construction and Management, Construction Management and Economics and Engineering Construction and Architectural Management.

Table 1 presents the main keywords and the respective frequency identified in the analysis. Note that the word “project management” appears in 409 articles as a keyword, followed by “motivation” 108 times, words that made up the search string. From these two words it is possible to notice some areas that are more representative, such as the construction industry, educational area represented by the word “students”, and aspects related to society and institutions. These first 5 records had an accumulated representativeness of more than 40% of all keywords and when analyzing the first 10 records it is noted that they represent 49.73% of the sample.

Table 1 - Frequency of keywords

ID	Keyword	Frequency (n)	Frequency(%)	Cumulative freq.(%)
1	project management	409	24,24%	24,24%
2	motivation	108	6,40%	30,65%
3	construction industry	77	4,56%	35,21%
4	students	44	2,61%	37,82%
5	societies and institutions	37	2,19%	40,01%
6	managers	35	2,07%	42,09%
7	decision making	34	2,02%	44,10%
8	stakeholders	33	1,96%	46,06%
9	Surveys	32	1,90%	47,95%
10	construction	30	1,78%	49,73%

From the frequency analysis of the keywords, we performed the cluster analysis using the VOSViewer software, so that the keywords would have to have a minimum repetition of 10 times in the entire sample. Figure 1 shows, by color, the formation of 5 large groups.

CHEN, M. R. A.; HWANG, G. J.; CHANG, Y. Y. A reflective thinking-promoting approach to enhancing graduate students' engagement, participation behaviors, reflective thinking and project learning outcomes. [J] *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 2019 50(5):2288-2307.

DIVJAK, B.; KUKEC, S. K. Teaching methods for international R&D project management. [J] *International Journal of Project Management*, 2008 26(3):251-257.

DRINKWAARD, W.; KIRKELS, A.; ROMIJN, H. A learning-based approach to understanding success in rural electrification: Insights from Micro Hydro projects in Bolivia. [J] *Energy for Sustainable Development*, 2010 14(3):232-237.

DULEWICZ, V.; HIGGS, M. Assessing leadership styles and organizational context. [J] *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 2005 20(2):105-123.

DWIVEDULA, R.; BREDILLET, C. N. Profiling work motivation of project workers. [J] *International Journal of Project Management*, 2010 28(2):158-165.

GANN, D. M.; SALTER, A. J. Innovation in project-based, service-enhanced firms: the construction of complex products and systems. [J] *Research policy*, 2000 29(7-8):955-972.

GÄLLSTEDT, M. Working conditions in projects: perceptions of stress and motivation among project team members and project managers. [J] *International Journal of Project Management*, 2003 21(6):449-455.

LATHAM, G. P.; PINDER, C. C. Work motivation theory and research at the dawn of the twenty-first century. [J] *Annual Review of Psychology*, 2005 56(1):485-516.

MALONEY, W. F. Understanding motivation. [J] *Journal of Management in Engineering*, 1986 2(4):231-245.

MARTIN, A. J. Motivation and engagement in the workplace: Examining a multidimensional framework and instrument from a measurement and evaluation perspective. [J] *Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development*, 2009 41(4):223-243.

MASLOW, A. H. *Motivation and personality*. [B] New York: Harper, 1954.

PATANAKUL, P. PINTO, J. K.; PINTO, M. B. Motivation to perform in a multiple-project environment: The impact of autonomy, support, goal clarity, and opportunities for learning. [J] *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, 2016 39:65-80.

PACAGNELLA JR.; A. C., PORTO, G. S.; PACÍFICO, O.; SALGADO Jr, A. P.; Project stakeholder management: A case study of a Brazilian science park. [J] *Journal of technology management & innovation*, 2015 10(2):39-49.

THOMSON, C. S.; EL-HARAM, M. A. Is the evolution of building sustainability assessment methods promoting the desired sharing of knowledge amongst project stakeholders? [J] *Construction management and economics*, 2019 37(8):433-460.

UNGER-AVIRAM, E.; ZWIKAEEL, O.; RESTUBOG, S. L. D. Revisiting Goals, Feedback, Recognition, and Performance Success. [J] *Group & Organization Management* 2013, 38(5):570-600.

VOORDIJK, H.; WALKER, D. H.; LLOYD-WALKER, B. M. Understanding the motivation and context for alliancing in the Australian construction industry. [J] *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 2016 9(1):74-93.

WU, P.; LOW, S.P. Project management and green buildings: lessons from the rating systems. [J] *Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering Education and Practice*, 2010 136(2):64-70.

XIONG, B.; SKITMORE, M.; XIA, B.; MASROM, M. A.; YE, K.; BRIDGE, A. Examining the influence of participant performance factors on contractor satisfaction: A structural equation model. [J] *International Journal of Project Management*, 2014 32(3):482-491.