http://doi.org/10.23925/2179-3565.2025v16i4p89-101

RISUS - Journal on Innovation and Sustainability
volume 16, número 4 - 2025
ISSN: 2179-3565
Editor Científico: Arnoldo José de Hoyos Guevara
Editor Assistente: Vitória Catarina Dib
Avaliação: Melhores práticas editoriais da ANPAD

COP-30 AND SOCIO-ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY AS A DIFFUSE RIGHT TO HUMAN DIGNITY

COP-30 e sustentabilidade socioambiental como direito difuso à dignidade humana

Claudio Noel de Toni Júnior
Universidade Federal de São Carlos
Email: juniortoni100@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The concept of quality of life and sustainable indicators goes beyond the mere analysis of a nation's wealth, nor is it expressed solely by issues of collective human development, measured by the Human Development Index (HDI), requiring new practices of measurement and theoretical and practical understanding where the objective of the article mentions that the concept of socio-environmental sustainability goes beyond social human development and wealth, because it adds third-dimension diffuse values that affect the entire global community, which is interconnected by making or failing to make inclusive public policies to protect the environment, labor and ethnic minorities, considered as a commitment to indivisible, insurmountable and indeterminate global quality of life rights, where active participation by the whole of society, governments, and institutions at a global level is necessary as a way of resolving the impacts and effects of climate and planetary sustainability, as we will see at the Climate Conference (COP-30). The article's methodology is bibliographical, descriptive, analytical, and comparative, in which we sought to explore the themes and advances of the planet's diffuse rights found in national and international articles and in institutional bodies such as the United Nations (UN).
Keywords: Sustainability, Climate, Diffuse rights, Transparency, Globalization

SUBMETIDO EM: 28/10/2025
ACEITO EM: 12/12/2025
PUBLICADO EM: 30/12/2025

RISUS - Journal on Innovation and Sustainability
volume 16, número 4 - 2025
ISSN: 2179-3565
Editor Científico: Arnoldo José de Hoyos Guevara
Editor Assistente: Vitória Catarina Dib
Avaliação: Melhores práticas editoriais da ANPAD

COP-30 E SUSTENTABILIDADE SOCIOAMBIENTAL COMO DIREITO DIFUSO À DIGNIDADE HUMANA

COP-30 and socio-environmental sustainability as a diffuse right to human dignity

Claudio Noel de Toni Júnior
Universidade Federal de São Carlos
Email: juniortoni100@gmail.com

RESUMO

O conceito de qualidade de vida e indicadores sustentáveis vai além da mera análise da riqueza de uma nação, não sendo expresso unicamente por questões de desenvolvimento humano, mensurado pelo Índice de Desenvolvimento Humano (IDH), exigindo novas práticas de medição e compreensão teórica e prática, onde o objetivo do artigo menciona que o conceito de sustentabilidade socioambiental vai além do desenvolvimento humano social e da riqueza, porque adiciona valores difusos de terceira dimensão que afetam toda a comunidade global, que está interconectada ao fazer ou não políticas públicas inclusivas para proteger o meio ambiente, o trabalho e as minorias étnicas, consideradas como um compromisso de direitos globais na qualidade de vida indivisíveis, inseperáveis e indeterminados, onde a participação ativa de toda a sociedade, governos e instituições a nível global é necessária como forma de resolver os impactos e efeitos da sustentabilidade climática e planetária, como veremos na Conferência do Clima (COP-30). A metodologia do artigo é bibliográfica, descritiva, analítica e comparativa, na qual buscamos explorar os temas e avanços dos direitos difusos do planeta encontrados em artigos nacionais e internacionais e em órgãos institucionais como as Nações Unidas (ONU).
Palavras-chave: Sustentabilidade, Clima, Direitos difusos, Transparência, Globalização

INTRODUCTION AND METHODOLOGY

The growing pressure on the planet's natural systems stems from human activities that transform resources into waste, often ignoring ecological limits. This reality is accentuated in nations whose economies depend heavily on fossil fuels, heavy industries, and energy-intensive transportation systems. According to data from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, four geopolitical entities account for more than half of global emissions of carbon dioxide and other climate-changing gases. These regions not only lead industrial production but also sustain consumption models that increase their environmental footprint, say Falcetta (2023) and the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2022).

These patterns are not recent but have intensified since the Industrial Revolution, according to Schwab (2019), when the use of coal and oil became synonymous with progress. Throughout the 20th century, population growth and accelerated urbanization increased the demand for energy, leading countries with large economies to expand their infrastructures without prioritizing clean alternatives. China, for example, doubled its energy consumption in less than twenty years, driven by the massive construction of cities and factories. The United States, historically the country most responsible for the accumulation of gases in the atmosphere, maintains a model based on private vehicles and extensive logistics. The European Union, despite regulatory advances, still resorts to traditional sources in sectors such as manufacturing and freight transportation.

These models differ from the practices observed in emerging economies, where the increase in emissions is more recent and linked to basic modernization rather than overconsumption. According to Mohanray and Azeer (2005), although India is now among the main global contributors, its per capita levels are much lower than those of the richest nations. This disparity creates tensions in international negotiations, since while the industrial powers are under pressure to reduce their emissions, developing countries are demanding room to grow without giving up their right to progress. This conflict between historical responsibility and distributive justice defines a large part of the impasses at global climate meetings (Salinger, 2005).

The consequences of this scenario go beyond the atmosphere, as they affect trade agreements, financial flows, and strategic alliances. The energy transition has become a field of political dispute, where green technologies are seen as instruments of power or opportunities for leadership. What we see is a complex web of interests where economic development is still measured by indicators that ignore environmental costs. This disconnection between material growth and collective well-being calls for a reassessment of the models that have guided recent generations in what are known as socio-environmental sustainability indicators, point out De Carvalho and Da Silva (2015).

When analyzing the economic and social trajectories of the countries that release the most harmful substances into the environment, a complex pattern emerges: material progress does not guarantee collective well-being or the preservation of natural ecosystems. Industrial growth, although driven by productivity targets, often ignores the hidden costs to the health of the population and the integrity of local environments.

Regions with a high level of productive activity often have lower quality of life indicators than expected, even when their goods supply global markets. The idea that financial growth equals social progress is proving to be insufficient in the face of the evidence accumulated over the last few decades.

This dynamic sets the stage for understanding how quality of life indices relate to environmental impacts. After all, who pays the highest price for these choices? How are internal and external inequalities intertwined in this process? These questions emerge as fundamental to interpreting the data that will be analyzed in the article, especially when examining the position of countries in the index that measures human development.

Casau, Ferreira Dias, and Leite Mota (2024) point out that nations that achieve high levels of social welfare often adopt production and energy models that prioritize efficiency and environmental preservation. Norway and Switzerland, for example, combine transparent governance, investments in renewable energies, and coherent public policies to maintain low per capita emissions. Their progress does not depend on the exploitation of fossil fuels but on technological innovation, the circular economy, and respect for natural limits, according to UN data (2023).

This trajectory demonstrates that prosperity and environmental responsibility are not opposites but complementary when guided by a long-term vision.

On the other hand, countries with lower human development indices face structural pressures that lead them to adopt production models that are intensive in natural resources. The uncontrolled expansion of agriculture, the predatory extraction of raw materials, and the lack of infrastructure for waste treatment generate high levels of degradation. These practices are not the result of free choices but of historical limitations due to restricted access to clean technologies, insufficient funding, and a lack of sustainable international support, where demographic pressure and the scarcity of viable alternatives further aggravate environmental impacts.

Data from the UNDP and the World Bank confirm that the relationship between human development and greenhouse gas emissions per capita are not linear. There are notable exceptions: some countries with a moderate HDI have low pollution rates thanks to local models of harmonious coexistence with nature, while others with a high HDI maintain unsustainable practices for economic convenience. This diversity prevents simplistic generalizations and points to the need for customized solutions. Each context requires specific strategies, adapted to its social, cultural, and environmental realities (Dalberto, 2015).

The methodology of the article is bibliographic, descriptive, analytical, and comparative, in which we seek to explore the themes and advances of the planet's diffuse rights found in national and international articles and in institutional bodies such as the United Nations.

1 LITERATURE REVIEW

1.1 Why do we have to differentiate between economic power, social developments, and sustainable development ?

Changes in the composition of air, water, and soil have led to transformations in natural systems. Studies by the United Nations Development Program (UNDP) and the World Health Organization (WHO) show that the waste released by industrial and energy activities not only contaminates the atmosphere but also unbalances fundamental climate cycles (Oliveira Junior 2018). The continuous increase of certain gases in the lower atmosphere intensifies heat retention, generating variations in temperature and rainfall patterns on a global scale. These changes are already affecting tropical and polar regions unevenly, with consequences extending over decades.

The oceans reflect this growing pressure. The excessive absorption of carbon dioxide by seawater reduces its pH, a phenomenon called acidification. This process hinders the formation of calcareous structures in marine organisms, from plankton to coral reefs, which are home to around a quarter of aquatic biodiversity. The loss of these habitats is not limited to the extinction of species; it triggers the collapse of entire food chains, according to data from the World Resources Institute (2023).

For Leary (2008), soil quality suffers from the deposition of toxic substances, which reduce its fertility and make traditional agricultural practices unviable. Acid rain, formed by the reaction between atmospheric pollutants and moisture, dissolves essential nutrients and releases heavy metals that accumulate in plant roots. This directly jeopardizes food production and the health of rural communities. In addition, the melting of polar ice caps and glaciers raises the level of the oceans, threatening coastal areas where more than 40% of the world's population lives. Coastal cities are already facing more frequent flooding and accelerated erosion, requiring urgent adaptation.

The impacts on human health, according to Rentscher (2023), are equally serious. Prolonged exposure to fine particles and irritating gases is linked to increased cases of asthma, chronic bronchitis, and heart disease. According to WHO data, millions of deaths a year are caused by poor air quality, most of them in densely populated urban areas. These risks do not affect everyone equally, with low-income populations, often living close to emission sources, facing disproportionate exposure, where social vulnerability intertwines with environmental vulnerability, creating cycles of risk that feed off each other, emphasizes Karsperson Karsperson (2012).

These phenomena do not occur in isolation. They are connected in complex networks, where a disturbance in one system triggers effects in others. Global warming intensifies droughts, which in turn increase the frequency and intensity of forest fires, releasing even more carbon and particles into the air. At the same time, the loss of native vegetation reduces the planet's natural ability to regulate its climate. This dynamic calls for integrated approaches capable of recognizing the interdependence between natural elements and human choices.

Faced with this scenario, the urgency lies not only in repairing the damage that has already been done but also in rethinking the production and consumption models that generate it. Solutions need to be based on solid scientific evidence and adapted to local realities, without relying solely on imported technologies. The thirtieth edition of the Climate Change Conference (COP 30), to be held in Belém, Brazil, is a moment to align international efforts with concrete practices, where success will depend on the ability of countries to overcome immediate interests and prioritize the integrity of the planet's vital systems. This change requires a new understanding of progress, where it should not be measured only by economic growth but by the preservation of the conditions that make life possible, among them social and environmental indices and diffuse interests such as labor and vulnerable groups in social movements, considered third-generation rights, where it affects the entire community, being insurmountable and indivisible rights, the responsibility of the entire human institutional community (Isik, 2025).

For Trindade (2017), these realities are neither accidental nor isolated. They reflect power structures and political priorities that place increased production above environmental resilience. Countries that lead in air pollutant emissions often have lower levels of access to education, health, and housing than the world average, challenging the traditional belief that economic wealth means human prosperity.

This challenge requires a re-evaluation of the criteria used to measure human prosperity, as purely monetary indicators fail to capture the degradation of natural and social capital, as well as diffuse capital, where reality shows that increased energy consumption does not automatically translate into greater longevity or greater social equity.

The practices adopted by emerging and consolidated economies point to a trend that draws attention, which is the externalization of environmental damage in order to maintain apparently sustainable growth rates. While some nations invest in green infrastructure, others remain dependent on fossil fuels for historical and strategic reasons, creating a chasm between technical capacity and ethical responsibility. The absence of effective mechanisms to link environmental compensation to social benefits exacerbates existing inequalities. As well as threatening the ecological future, this approach weakens the legitimacy of the institutions charged with protecting the population's fundamental rights.

Cristino (2021) mentions that this scenario demands new paradigms that integrate ecological, human, and economic dimensions into a single evaluation matrix. The discussion about metrics of progress needs to go beyond the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and other economic and social indicators, such as health and education, which are important but do not complete the complexity of measuring quality of life and human well-being, without including third-dimension indices, such as indicators like access to clean water, air quality, and food security, as essential pillars.

These elements are already recognized by international organizations as fundamental to understanding the condition of societies, but they are not yet adopted as a central reference in government decisions. The transition to more comprehensive models is possible, but it depends on political will and the restructuring of educational and urban planning systems.

Challenges gain urgency in the face of global events that seek to align efforts between nations. Cities hosting world delegations face housing shortages and pressure on public services, according to Cristino (2021), while their local populations live in precarious conditions. The paradox becomes clearer when one realizes that those most responsible for the climate crisis are not always those most affected by its direct consequences. This dynamic demands solutions that go beyond symbolic agreements and require a real redistribution of resources, technologies, and knowledge.

In view of this, the way forward involves rethinking the role of the state, the private sector, and civil society in building systems that value life over unbridled production. Change will not come through regulations alone, but through a cultural transformation that puts the integrity of the planet at the center of everyday decisions.

1.2 Diffuse rights and their scope beyond IDH

The world needs new ways of measuring progress. Measuring it is not enough to understand whether a society is really sustainable. The Human Development Index (HDI) has emerged as a more humane response to this question, bringing together three essential pillars of life: living longer, studying more, and having the minimum material conditions for a dignified existence.

Developed by the UNDP, the HDI, according to the UN (2025), has radically changed the way in which governments and international organizations assess a country's success, shifting the focus from economic wealth to the real quality of human experiences, considered second-dimension rights, which are social rights. It is indeed an advance, as it adds values to gauge whether a society is developed and its level of development by ranking, which goes beyond economic growth, but to measure the diffuse quality of life, or in other words, sustainable development, a new look is needed to include new indicators that measure and bring proposals for improvements to the environment, work, human dignity of movement, and social justice, which goes beyond what is called human development and must go further.

This important advance combines three measurable dimensions: life expectancy at birth, which reveals the state of public health; average years of schooling and schooling expectancy, which indicate the degree of access to knowledge; and national income per capita adjusted for purchasing power parity, which expresses the level of material well-being. Each component is converted into a scale from zero to one, allowing comparisons between nations with profoundly different histories, economies, and cultures. This structure avoids distortions caused by isolated indicators, such as gross domestic product, which expose gaps that these data hide.

This approach is important for identifying inequalities that go unnoticed in traditional analyses. A country can have a high GDP and a high Gross National Product (GNP) and still have high infant mortality rates or low high school graduation rates.

On the other hand, nations with few resources can exceed expectations by guaranteeing universal access to education and basic health care. These examples show that well-being is not an automatic result of economic production but rather the fruit of conscious political choices and targeted investments.

This dynamic is decisive for understanding today's challenges in contexts where the greatest environmental impacts coincide with insufficient levels of social protection. Public policies that ignore this complexity run the risk of proposing technical solutions with no human foundation. The integrated assessment allows us to understand that sustainability is not limited to reducing emissions but also requires expanding opportunities for the most vulnerable populations, ensuring that no one is left behind on the road to a fairer future.

The results of this index have guided decisions on a global scale, influencing funding priorities, international cooperation programs, and development goals. Its value lies in its ability to reveal contradictions between national wealth and individual well-being, forcing countries to rethink their priorities. This type of analysis is essential for understanding the tensions between economic growth and environmental preservation, especially when considering the local impacts of international events that require infrastructure, logistics, and social commitment (Rogerson, 1989).

For Rigal (2022), the implications of this model go beyond annual reports. It serves as a basis for questioning systems that prioritize growth over equity, proposing a new language for progress. Today we see societies seeking to balance material prosperity with environmental protection and social inclusion, a quest that can only be guided by metrics that reflect the true human condition.

In this global scenario, the next path is not to impose universal standards but to build cooperation networks that respect autonomy and recognize vulnerabilities. Will the COP 30 experience in Brazil be a litmus test of how inclusive dialogue can be promoted when logistical and financial barriers threaten the full participation of the most affected countries? The answer will define whether the event is just a symbolic moment or a turning point in building a fairer future (Artaxo, 2025).

A society's ability to face environmental crises depends directly on the conditions in which its people live. Nations with greater access to education, health, and income show greater resilience in the face of challenges such as resource scarcity and forced displacement due to climate phenomena, such as climate refugees in sub-Saharan Africa. This connection is not accidental: when populations have basic security, they become active agents in adaptation, demand transparency in public decisions, and support initiatives that balance growth and environmental preservation through global governmental and sectoral commitment, point out Oe, Yamaoka, and Ochiai (2022).

These same conditions shape the effectiveness of the institutions responsible for regulating activities that affect the natural environment. Without solid governance structures, environmental policies tend to be poorly applied or ignored, even when officially adopted. The absence of oversight, systemic corruption, and low citizen participation create environments where predatory practices persist under the façade of development.

In contrast, countries with more mature institutional systems manage to align economic goals with ecological obligations, proving that the quality of public administration is as decisive as the availability of resources.

The differences between nations with high and low social performance reflect inequalities in access to information, technology, and political voice. While communities with high social indicators invest in clean innovation and sustainable infrastructure, vulnerable populations bear the double burden of environmental degradation and social exclusion. This scenario is not just local; it compromises the global capacity to coordinate effective responses. The division between those who emit the most and those who suffer the most makes it difficult to build fair consensus on shared responsibilities (De Toni Junior, 2024).

This dynamic calls for a redefinition of international cooperation. Large-scale events, such as the meeting planned for 2025 in the Amazon, cannot be limited to formal agreements between governments. They need to include real mechanisms that guarantee the participation of the most affected communities, promote the transfer of knowledge, and ensure that the costs of the ecological transition do not fall on the poorest, and the logistics of the event, although important, are secondary to the urgency of creating authentic channels for dialog between different social realities, point out Pandey, De Coninck, and Sagar (2022).

This perspective redefines the role of global meetings not as mere symbolic ceremonies of lobbying and status quo, but as catalysts for concrete transformation, where the future will depend on the capacity of international systems to transform commitments into tangible actions, especially in the territories where the impacts are most acute. Building a viable path requires political courage, constant transparency, and a vision that puts human beings at the heart of decisions, not as spectators but as protagonists of the event and, in the event, a resource to be optimized, but as the ultimate goal of any collective effort.

2 DISCUSSIONS ON DIFFUSE SOCIO-ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY

For Meuleman (2021), sustainability initiatives include treaties that set measurable targets, funding for less polluting technologies, and systems for continuous monitoring of environmental conditions. The most comprehensive of these regulatory frameworks, adopted almost universally, sets deadlines for reducing the gases that intensify and/or promote the transformation of the energy and production sectors. The almost total adherence to this model reflects a change in understanding that the environment is not an inexhaustible resource but a system whose integrity sustains all life on the planet.

However, even with formal advances, the effective implementation of these guidelines continues to face obstacles. Many governments deal with financial, technical or institutional constraints that make it difficult to implement ambitious policies. At the same time, there are marked inequalities between historical emissions and current adaptation capacities, generating tensions in international negotiations. This disparity requires solutions that take into account the amount of pollutants released and access to technology, education, and social justice.

Indicators of population well-being reveal a complex interdependence between material prosperity and environmental health. Countries with high levels of human development often have consumption patterns that generate disproportionate impacts on ecosystems, while developing nations, although they emit less, suffer the most severe consequences. This contradiction imposes a central ethical dilemma of how to reconcile the right to development with the collective obligation to preserve global ecosystems," points out Guliyeva (2022).

Discussions in international forums have come to include not only governments, but also local communities, civil society organizations, and the private sector. This broadening of the spectrum of actors allows for a more effective understanding of the social and economic roots of the crisis. Initiatives that link environmental protection to the creation of decent jobs, food security, and access to drinking water demonstrate that the solution cannot only be technical but must also be social and political.

These advances, while promising, have not yet translated into significant reductions in actual pollution levels. The gap between announced commitments and concrete results remains wide, especially in regions where governance is weak or resources are limited. The effectiveness of these strategies depends directly on transparency, independent monitoring, and constant pressure from civil society on decision-makers.

The effectiveness of government actions in environmental matters depends on solid institutions, transparency in operations, and the active participation of civil society. Countries with clear monitoring systems and accountability mechanisms achieve lasting results, even under economic pressure. Where there are no independent monitoring bodies, formal commitments become mere symbolic declarations, without any measurable impact on air, water, or soil quality (Meuleman, 2021).

This reality becomes evident in regions where business interests directly shape environmental standards. When productive sectors have the power to set emission limits or approve permits, policies tend to prioritize immediate growth over long-term preservation.

Successful implementation of these policies requires coordination between local, regional, and national levels, avoiding fragmentation that creates regulatory gaps, where the European Union's experience with the Green Deal demonstrates that integration between jurisdictions, combined with transparent financial incentives, increases voluntary adherence to sustainable practices. In Brazil, the lack of synchronization between federal, state, and municipal guidelines has made it difficult to apply the rules uniformly, especially in permanent preservation areas, point out Dos Santos Estevo, Rodrigues, and Thomaz (2025).

The most common flaws, apart from technical deficiencies, show a disconnect between stated objectives and the resources actually allocated. Many programs are planned with ambitious goals but lack a stable budget, qualified staff, or continuous monitoring systems. Without these pillars, even the best plans become archived documents, with no real capacity for transformation. A comparison between developed and developing nations reveals that the difference is not in the number of laws created but in the consistency of execution, according to the UN (2022).

These challenges require a restructuring of traditional public management models. Innovation is not limited to the adoption of new technologies but to the reformulation of internal processes that guarantee accountability and citizen engagement. Initiatives that use digital platforms to report irregularities or create popular environmental monitoring councils have shown an increase in the effectiveness of interventions. This approach, based on collaborative networks, reduces exclusive dependence on central authorities and expands local response capacity, add Dos Santos Estevo, Rodrigues, and Thomaz (2024).

The transition to less environmentally aggressive systems depends on innovations that redefine the way energy is generated, consumed, and stored. These developments are not simply alternatives but pillars for changing the course of current trends. According to energy sector analyses, sources such as solar and wind power have already accounted for more than 30% of new installed capacity globally over the last five years, far outstripping the growth of fossil fuels. This movement is no accident, being driven by efficiency gains, falling costs, and growing political pressure.

These advances are also transforming production structures, especially in regions where dependence on traditional resources was intense. The modernization of heavy industry now includes processes that use green hydrogen and electricity from renewable sources, reducing gaseous emissions. In agriculture, practices that combine sensors, smart irrigation, and controlled composting allow for more productive harvests with less impact on soil and water quality. These changes are not theoretical; they are already being applied on a large scale in countries that treat environmental resilience as an essential part of their economic strategy, says Bogdavov (2021).

3 RESULTS

The social consequences of these transformations are equally profound. The growth of the clean energy sector has generated millions of jobs around the world, many of them in areas previously hit by industrial decline. These new positions require technical qualifications but also offer opportunities for professional reintegration for communities that were facing high unemployment rates. The ability to train skilled labor in this field has become a key indicator of the maturity of public policies in various nations, reflecting a shift in focus from simple economic growth to building lasting economies, in recognition of diffuse socio-environmental rights, in addition to growth and collective social development alone.

This dynamic requires continuous adaptation, as technical challenges remain. Efficient storage, the integration of electricity grids, and the scale of production of critical materials remain real obstacles. However,

emerging solutions such as solid-state batteries, decentralized systems, and advanced recycling are being tested with promising results. Collaboration between research centers, industries, and governments has accelerated the validation of these approaches, making them viable sooner than anticipated. The speed of this evolution is challenging old energy planning models.

This scenario redefines the role of developing countries, which today do not have to follow the same polluting path as the industrial leaders of the last century. They can skip stages by directly adopting cleaner and more accessible technologies. Brazil, for example, has unique natural advantages, from vast biodiversity to abundant water and solar resources, which can be converted into a competitive advantage. The strategic implementation of these assets not only mitigates environmental damage but also positions the country as a benchmark for sustainable models applicable to other tropical regions.

Qandeel (2024) points out that political decisions often favor immediate interests over structural transformations. Initiatives aimed at energy efficiency or the preservation of biomes are often postponed due to budgetary constraints or pressure from economic sectors. Even where strong environmental laws exist, their application is uneven, especially in remote or economically excluded areas.

The lack of effective monitoring and accountability mechanisms allows harmful practices to continue under the guise of development. This configuration calls for a reassessment of global priorities. The exclusive focus on quantitative growth targets ignores the qualitative dimensions of human existence and the integrity of natural systems. The transition to more balanced models depends on cultural and institutional changes that place the common good above individual profit. This means rethinking the criteria for national success, integrating ecological and social dimensions into traditional indicators of progress.

The logistical challenges faced by the host city of the COP-30 conference reflect a broader tension, such as reconciling the need for international dialogue with the local realities of infrastructure and access. The adoption of clean technologies will only be meaningful if accompanied by policies that guarantee distributive justice. Without accessible environmental education, without community participation in decision-making, and without redistribution of resources, any innovation risks becoming a mere resource for maintaining inequalities under a new guise. The dynamic between production and preservation cannot be solved by technology or regulation alone. It depends on building new pacts between the public, private, and social sectors. Governments that offer incentives to companies that reduce waste, communities that manage urban forests, and cooperatives that grow food without pesticides form networks of interdependent action. These models show that sustainability emerges when multiple actors act in synergy, rather than in isolation. The effectiveness of these initiatives lies in their ability to involve citizens as protagonists, rather than passive spectators of the decisions that shape their environment.

The conditions that enable this transformation are deeply linked to transparency, democratic participation, and equal access to information.

The formation of citizens capable of understanding the connections between human actions and the integrity of ecosystems requires a transformation in education systems.

Studies by the UNDP and UNESCO show that programs that incorporate principles of conservation, conscious consumption, and responsible management of resources from the earliest years of school generate lasting changes in collective behavior. This approach goes beyond the transmission of information: it develops critical skills that make it possible to assess the real impacts of daily choices on the environment.

In higher education, teach Izuchukwu Precious Zino (2025) initiatives that connect technical disciplines to socio-environmental issues are effective in preparing professionals to work in complex systems. Engineering, economics, and health courses that include modules on biogeochemical cycles, ecological footprints, and environmental justice train graduates with a greater capacity to propose integrated solutions. Companies that adopt continuous training in this direction report significant reductions in waste and greater adherence to low-impact practices, indicating a deeper cultural change than any single standard could achieve.

Young people in regions directly affected by environmental degradation are emerging as central agents of this transformation. Student-led projects in riverside communities in the Amazon or in urban slums prove that knowledge applied with autonomy generates adaptive and innovative responses. These actions do not depend on large investments but on pedagogical structures that value direct observation, dialog with traditional knowledge,

and practical experimentation. Active participation in these processes strengthens the sense of belonging and collective responsibility among participants (Khanum, 2019).

This dynamic reveals a critical gap, where many educational systems are still based on obsolete models that separate scientific knowledge from lived reality. The disconnect between theory and practice prevents students from seeing the immediate relevance of what they learn. When education is aligned with local urgencies, such as water scarcity, air pollution, or the loss of species, it becomes a powerful instrument for change. In this context, education ceases to be a secondary complement and becomes the core of any strategy for social and environmental transformation, reports Izuchukwu Precious Zino (2025).

Public policies that only fund infrastructure without investing in ongoing teacher training or updating teaching materials tend to fail. The constant training of educators, with access to up-to-date data and active methodologies, is just as essential as the construction of laboratories or classrooms. The experience of countries that have moved in this direction shows that the quality of teaching far exceeds the financial resources available. Real change occurs when teachers feel empowered to question, investigate, and inspire their students.

This evolution, according to Lobo Matos (2011), is not an option; as environmental impacts intensify and social inequalities grow, the ability to interpret complex phenomena becomes a basic skill for collective survival. Schools, universities, and vocational training institutions must stop being isolated institutions and become centers for critical reflection on the future. The success of global sustainability goals will depend less on international agreements and more on the ability of societies to train individuals capable of acting with awareness, creativity, and persistence (Heyen, 2023).

In view of this, it is necessary to redefine the objectives of education: no longer to prepare for specific markets, but to live in an interdependent and vulnerable world. The next generations will need to navigate climate uncertainty, resource scarcity, and social pressures and will only be able to do so if they have been educated to think systemically, act ethically, and dialog with diversity. This is the legacy that every educational institution must build today.

CONCLUSION

The analysis shows that economic growth and ecological degradation do not automatically translate into a better quality of life. These patterns require a redefinition of government priorities, which need to go beyond an exclusive focus on productivity and technological advancement. Today's reality reveals that material progress alone does not guarantee decent living conditions or protect the natural systems that sustain human existence. It is essential to understand that climate stability and social justice are interdependent pillars, not secondary options.

For Kraychet (2013), this transformation requires continuous, accessible, and transparent oversight mechanisms that include local communities in the collection and interpretation of environmental data. Citizen participation cannot be a symbolic gesture but a structural component of public decisions. Initiatives that unite residents, scientists, and managers in monitoring networks have already proven effective in regions where centralized monitoring has failed.

Trust in institutions grows when citizens feel they are active agents in building solutions. Investments in innovation should prioritize solutions adapted to regional realities, avoiding imported models that ignore specific social and ecological contexts. Low-impact technologies, such as organic waste management systems or decentralized energy networks, have shown potential in remote areas with little infrastructure. These practices not only reduce pressure on ecosystems but also generate local employment and training opportunities.

The path to transformation is not one of big, exotic projects, but of small, well-executed actions. Global inequalities persist not only in the distribution of wealth but also in the ability to cope with environmental impacts. Nations with fewer resources suffer the most severe effects, even though they contribute minimally to the problems that affect them.

This imbalance calls for financial and technical support mechanisms that are not conditioned by geopolitical interests. International cooperation needs to be based on shared responsibility, not pressure or punishment. True solidarity is manifested when the most capable help the most vulnerable without demanding submission. The formation of environmental awareness is a continuous process that begins in childhood and is

strengthened throughout life. Educational systems must integrate an understanding of natural cycles, the scarcity of resources, and the interdependence between human beings and ecosystems. This is not a curricular add-on but the basis for full citizenship in the 21st century. According to Oe, Yamaoka, and Ochiai (2022), education that ignores these issues produces individuals who are incapable of understanding the choices that shape their collective future. Profound change only occurs when knowledge becomes part of everyday culture.

The next steps will depend on the ability to align efforts between the public, private, and civil sectors around clear and measurable objectives. Theeffectiveness of actions will not be measured by speeches or ceremonies but by tangible results: reducing pollution levels, recovering degraded areas, and improving public health. The success of these initiatives requires patience, discipline, and lasting commitment.

REFERENCES

Artaxo, Paulo. *COP-30 and the worsening of the climate crisis* - pathways to building a sustainable society. Advanced Studies, vol. 39, p. e39114019, 2025. Available in: https://www.cell.com/action/showPdf?pii=S2405-8440%2825%2900324-X. Access: 25. Nov. 2024.

Casau, Margarida; Ferreira DIAS, Marta; Leite Mota, Gabriel. Economy, happiness, and climate change: exploring new measures of progress. *Environment, Development, and Sustainability*, p. 1-24, 2024. Available in 10.18848/2325-1115/CGP/v20i02/95-129. Access: 11. nov. 2024.

Cristino, Jorge Manuel Fernandes Martinho. *The role of cities in global governance:* a contribution to sustainable development within the framework of climate change. Master's Thesis. University of Minho (Portugal), 2021. Available: https://estudogeral.uc.pt/handle/10316/100842. Access: 10. set. 2024.

Dalberto, Cassiano Ricardo et al. *Efficient Human Development Index*: An Alternative Measurement of National Well-Being, 2015. Available in: https://repositorio.ipea.gov.br/entities/publication/8535fc2f-f466-433e-a324-4a652ae0fc51. Access: 12. Set. 2024.

De Carvalho, Sonia Aparecida; Da Silva, Denival Francisco; Adolfo, Luiz Gonzaga Silva. Human rights, sustainable development, and sustainability. *Electronic Journal of the Law Course at UFSM,* vol. 10, no. 1, p. 1-24, 2015. Available in: https://doi.org/10.5902/1981369415383. Access: 14. Set. 2024.

De Toni Jr., Caúdio Noel. Green areas and the socio-environmental impact in the city of Ribeirão Preto. *Revista de Inovação e Sustentabilidade RISUS*, v. 15, n. 2, p. 113-124, 2024. Available in: https://doi.org/10.23925/2179-3565.2024v15i2p113-124. Access: 12. Oct. 2024.

Dos Santos Estevo, Jefferson; Rodrigues, Giovana Moreira; Thomaz, Lais Forti. The implications of the European Green Deal arising from the REPowerEU plan for Brazil-European Union relations in the sustainable fuels sector (2019–2024). *Brazilian Journal of International Relations*, vol. 14, pp. e025008-e025008. Available in: https://doi.org/10.36311/2237-7743.2025.v14.e025008. Access: 10. Oct .2024.

Falcetta, Francisca Marques. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC): a tool for mitigating the climate crisis or a perpetuator of hegemony? 2023.

GLOBAL CARBON PROJECT Fossil CO_2 *Emissions by Country 2024,* 2024. Available in: https://carbonmarketwatch.org/wp content/uploads/2025/05/cmw_annual_report_24.pdf. Accedido: 14. Oct. 2024.

Guliyeva, Aygun. Measuring Quality of Life*:* a system of indicators. *Estudios Económicos y Políticos*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 476–491, 2022. Available in: https://doi.org/10.1080/20954816.2021.1996939. Access: 12. mar. 2025.

Heyen, Dirk Arne. Social justice in the context of climate policy: systematization of the variety of dimensions of inequality, social impacts, and principles of justice. *Climate Policy*, v. 23, no. 5, pp. 539–554, 2023. Available: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/14693062.2022.2142499%4010.1080/tfocoll.2022.0.issue-Just-Transition-and-Climate-Justice . Access: 11. Nov. 2024.

INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON CLIMATE CHANGE. *Climate Change 2022:* Mitigation of Climate Change. Cambridge University Press, 2022. Available in: https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg3/. Accesses: May 15, 2025.

Işik, Cem *et al*. Towards carbon neutrality & COP29 Baku/Azerbaijan-COP30 Belem/Brazil**:** Exploring the impacts of economic, environmental, social, and governance (ECON-ESG) factors on Climate Policy Uncertainty

(CPU) for sustainable development. *Heliyon*, v. 11, n. 3, 2025. Available in: https://www.cell.com/heliyon/fulltext/S2405-8440(25)00324-X . Access: 12. Jan. 2025.
Izuchukwu Precious, Obani; Zino, Izu-Obani. Global Education Policies and Their Influence on Environmental Sustainability. *Journal of Integrity Ecosystems and Environment*, v. 3, n. 2, p. 1-24, 2025. Available in: http://eprints.umsida.ac.id/15379/ . Access: 12. mai .2025.
Kraychete, Elsa S. *International Cooperation for Development*, 2013.
Mohanraj, R.; Azeez, P. A. Urban development and particulate air pollution in the city of Coimbatore, India**.** *International Journal of Environmental Studies*, v. 62, n. 1, p. 69–78, 2005. Available in: https://doi.org/10.1080/0020723042000261713. Access: 02. fev .2025.
Oliveira Jr., Aristeu de. Drinking water in the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs): a perspective from the health sector, 2018. Available in: https://repositorio.unb.br/handle/10482/33003. Access: 12. Mar. 2025.
UN, *United Nations. Brazil and the Sustainable Development Goals Sustainable*: Advances and Challenges. 2022. Available in : https://brasil.un.org/pt-br/sdgs. Access: 14. Amr. 2025.
UN, United Nations Development Program. Human Development Report 2023, 2023. Available in : https://hdr.undp.org/data-center/thematic-composite-indices. Access: 14. May. 2025.
UN, United Nations Development Program (UNDP), 2023-24, 2025. Available in: https://hdr.undp.org/content/human-development-report-2025. Access: 15. sep. 2025.
Leary, Neil et al**.** *El cambio climático y la vulnerabilidad*. Londres: Earthscan, 2008.
Lobo, Carlos; Matos, R. E. S. *Human development:* the clash between the concepts of economic growth, environmental sustainability, and Sen's constitutive and instrumental freedoms. Latin American Journal of Advanced Studies, vol. 9, no. 1, p. 16-23, 2011.
Kasperson, Roger E.; Kasperson, Jeanne X. *Climate Change, Vulnerability, and Social Justice***. In: Social** Contours of Risk. Routledge, 2012. pp. 301–321.
Khanum, Asma. *Environmentally conscious global citizens*: an evolution from environmental education to education for sustainable development in Pakistan. 2019. Doctoral Thesis. University of Glasgow.
Meuleman, Louis. *Public Administration and Governance for the SDGs*: Navigating between change and stability. Sustainability, vol. 13, no. 11, p. 5914, 2021. Available: https://doi.org/10.3390/su13115914. Access. 01. mar. 2025.
Pandey, Nimisha; De Coninck, Heleen; Sagar, Ambuj D. Beyond Technology Transfer: Innovation cooperation to advance sustainable development in developing countries. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Energy and Environment,* vol. 11, no. 2 2, p. e422, 2022. Available: https://doi.org/10.1002/wene.422. Access: 01. Fev. 2025.
Qandeel, Mahmoud Salameh. Implications of Public Policy Performance for Social Inequality Worldwide. SN Business & Economics, vol. 4, no. 9, p. 103, 2024. *SN Business & Economics*, vol. 4, no. 9, p. 103, 2024. Available in: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s43546-024-00704-8. Access: 10. oct. 2024.
Rigal, Stanislas. Socio-environmental index: combining social and biophysical indicators reveals limits to growth. *Ecology and Society*, v. 27, n. 2, 2022. Available in: https://ecologyandsociety.org/vol27/iss2/art33/. Access: 05. mai. 2025.
Rogerson, Robert J. et al. Quality of life indicators: some methodological problems**.** *Environment and Planning A*, vol. 21, no. 12, pp. 1655–1666, 1989. Available in: https://doi.org/10.1068/a21165. Access: 8. nov. 2024.
Salinger, M. James. Variability and Climate Change: Past, Present, and Future—An Overview. *Climate Change,* vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 9–29, 2005. Available in: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10584-005-5936-x Access in: 12. mar. 2024.
Rentschler, Jun; Leonova, Nadezda. Global exposure to air pollution and poverty. *Nature Communications*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 4432, 2023. Available in: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41467-023-39797-4. Access: 02. jan. 2025.
Schwab, Klaus. *The Fourth Industrial Revolution*. Edipro, 2019.
Trindade, Antônio Augusto Cançado; LEAL, César Barros (Eds.). *Human Rights and the Environment:* Expressão Gráfica e Editora, 2017. Available in: https://ibdh.org.br/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/44726-Human-Rights-and-Environment-Ingl%C3%AAs-2017.indd_.pdf. Access: 12. oct. 2024.

WORLD RESOURCES INSTITUTE. *Global Carbon Project 2023*: Trends and Emission Projections. 2023. Available in: https://www.wri.org/research/state-climate-action-2023. Access: 15. Oct. 2024.